## Elementary Inequalities among Elementary Functions W. Kahan Aug. 19, 1985

Programmers, like other people, frequently take familiar properties of elementary functions for granted. If  $x \leq y$ , for instance, they expect  $exp(x) \leq exp(y)$ ; the possibility that computed exp(x) > computed exp(y) might occur because of rounding errors is unlikely to be considered until after it has caused a disagreeable surprise. Such a violation of expected monotonicity is potentially more troublesome than an error of several ulps in the computed value of exp(x) . Fortunately, library programs that compute exp(x) can easily be made monotonic even when, for very large [x], they cannot easily be kept accurate within an ulp. For some other functions, like cos and log, the preservation of monotonicity can challenge the implementor. And if that challenge is overcome, inequalities among different but related elementary functions can pose problems of a still higher order of difficulty. How far is an implementor obliged to go to protect inequalities among elementary functions from roundoff?

To appreciate better the limits upon an implementor's powers, let us consider the following examples of elementary inequalities:

L:	$x/(1+x) \leq \ln ip(x) := \ln(1+x) \leq x$ for all $x > -1$ .
E:	$x \leq expm1(x) := exp(x) - 1$ for all x ; and
EL:	$expm1(x) \leq -ln1p(-x) \leq x/(1-x)$ for all $x \leq 1$ .

The inequalities  $ln1p(x) \le x$  and  $x \le expm1(x)$  can be enforced by keeping the errors in the implementations of ln1p and expm1below one ulp when |x| is tiny; this is not hard to do. But no amount of care in the implementation of ln1p can enforce the inequality  $x/(1+x) \le ln1p(x)$  despite roundoff in x/(1+x). For instance take x = 0.00499 and perform arithmetic rounded to 3 significant decimals. Then 1+x = 1.00499 rounds to [1+x] = 1, and then x/[1+x] rounds to x. But ln1p(x) = 0.0049775912...rounds to  $0.00498 \le x$ , violating the inequality in question. A similar example disposes of  $expm1(x) \le x/(1-x)$ . The inequality  $expm1(x) \le -ln1p(-x)$  is more subtle; now try x = 0.00000 99999 in a context where arithmetic is performed to 5 sig. dec. Since expm1(x) = 0.00000 99999 49999 1667..

< 0.00000 99999 49999 3333.. =  $-\ln 1p(-x)$ , an implementor could not round these to 0.00000 99999, that is to 5 sig. dec., without first knowing them to at least 10 sig. dec., twice as many. If each value were computed independently in error by as much as  $\pm 0.00000$  00000 00001, rounding them subsequently to 5 sig. dec. could yield 0.00001 0000 for expm1(x) and 0.00000 99999 for  $\ln 1p(x)$ , violating the inequality in question.

It seems extravagant to carry more than twice as many figures as will be returned; and doing so would not by itself guarantee no argument x exists for which far more precision than that is needed to round well enough to preserve an inequality. Another unsatisfactory strategy for preserving inequalities is to use only algorithms designed for the purpose; the strategy is unattractive because the only such algorithms known at this time involve the use of Taylor series to the exclusion of economized polynomials or continued fractions or other more interesting schemes. Therefore the thoughtful programmer must acquiesce to the occasional violation of some familiar inequalities by roundoff.

What relations among elementary functions deserve to be taken for granted? One of them, monotonicity, is a subject too delicate to be discussed here; my report on the subject appears elsewhere. A second relation concerns "Cardinal Values" ; these are exact values taken by transcendental functions. A collection of them is displayed in Table 1. A third relation concerns "Functional Identities"; the best-known examples are the odd functions like sin(-x) = -sin(x), arctan(-x) = -arctan(x), ... and the even ones like cos(-x) = cos(x) , ... . Less well-known, perhaps because they are wrongly taken for granted, are identities like  $y'(x^2) = |x|$ , which is satisfied, for all floating-point numbers x for which x<sup>2</sup> does not over/underflow, by correctly rounded square and square root operations in binary and quaternary floating-point arithmetic. The identity fails for some x when the arithmetic's radix exceeds 4. The complementary identity  $(\sqrt[4]{x})^2 = x$ , on the other hand, cannot survive roundoff for all positive x, regardless of radix or rounding correctness. The most general discussion so far of Functional Identities was published in Math. of Computation in 1971 by Harry Diamond.

A fourth relation among elementary functions includes inequalities of the forms  $f(x) \leq C$ onstant and  $f(x) \leq x$  or  $f(x) \geq x$ . Such inequalities can be preserved in implementations of f(x) by keeping its error below one ulp, so they deserve to be taken for granted. Table 2 contains a collection of inequalities involving a representable Constant. Inequalities E and L above are instances of inequalities involving x, and some more follow:

The following string of inequalities involves only odd functions of x, and is therefore stated only for all sufficiently small positive values of x. Reversing the sign of x reverses the sense of all the inequalities in the string.

x cos x < tanh x < arctan x < sin x < arcsinh x < x ... x < sinh x < arcsin x < tan x < arctanh x < x cosh x .

Some of these inequalities remain valid as x increases from 0 only so long as x remains below some threshold. The thresholds are tabulated below:

 $x = 0.74461 \ 14991 \ 45...$ , arctanh  $x = x \cosh x$ . At x = 0.97743 48912 2... ,  $tan x = x \cosh x$ . At  $x = 0.99990 \ 60124 \ 1267...$ At arcsin x = tan x . For x > 1 remove arcsin x and arctanh x from the string.  $x = 1.55708 58155 \dots$ , arctan x At = sin x. For  $x \ge \pi/2 = 1.57079$  63268 ... remove tan x . At x = 1.87510 40687 ... , tanh x = sin x. x = 4.49340 94579 ... = sin x . At X COS X , At x = 4.91716 45703 ... , X COS X = tanh x . At × = 4.99108 47512 ... , x cos x = arctan x . At  $x = 5.18250 39692 \dots$ x cos x = arcsinh x . 5

Much as we might wish that the whole string of inequalities would persist as long as x remains between 0 and whatever threshold is pertinent, any of those inequalities demanding more than a comparison with x can succumb to roundoff when x is tiny.

4

Table 1 : EXACT CARDINAL VALUES ~~~~~~~ **Positive zeros:**  $ln(1) = arccosh(1) = arccos(1) = exp(-\infty) =$ = (+0) (even > 0) = (+0) (even < 0) = (+0) (noninteger > 0) ==  $(+\infty)^{(noninteger < 0)} = (fraction)^{+\infty} = (+(>1))^{-\infty} = +0$ . **Signed zeros:** sin(+0) = arcsin(+0) = sinh(+0) = arcsinh(+0) = $= lnip(\pm 0) = tan(\pm 0) = arctan(\pm 0) = tanh(\pm 0) = arctanh(\pm 0) =$  $= \exp((+0)) = \sqrt{(+0)} = (+0)^{\circ} = (+0)^{\circ} = (+0)^{\circ} = (+0)^{\circ} = +0$  resp. Whether sin(n $\pi$ ), tan(n $\pi$ ) or cos((n+1/2) $\pi$ ) can vanish and, if so, what sign to assign to 0, depend upon how trigonometric argument reduction is performed.  $\cos(0) = \cosh(0) = \tanh(+\infty) = \exp(0) = (anything)^{\circ} = 0! =$ Ones:  $= 1! = 1^{finite} = (+1)^{even} = 1 =$  $(-1)^{odd} = tanh(-00) = -1$ . Whether  $\cos(2n\pi) = \sin((2n+1/2)\pi) = \tan((n+1/4)\pi) = 1$  exactly depends upon how trigonometric argument reduction is performed.  $y'(n^2) = \log_{10}(10^n) = n$  for all sufficiently small Integers: nonnegative integers n ; m\*\*n = m° is an integer too if [m] is an integer. Silent Infinities:  $\sinh(\pm \omega) = \operatorname{arcsinh}(\pm \omega) = (\pm \omega)^{(\operatorname{odd} > 0)} = \pm \omega \operatorname{resp}$ .  $\cosh(+\infty) = \arccos(+\infty) = \sqrt{(+\infty)} = \ln(+\infty) = \exp(+\infty) = (+(>1))^{+\infty} =$  $= (+00)^{(noninteger > 0)} = (+00)^{(even > 0)} = (fraction)^{-\infty} = +00$ .  $arctanh(+1) = (+0)^{odd} < o^{o} = +00 resp.$ Signaled Infinities:  $-\ln(0) = 0$  (even < 0) = 0 (noninteger < 0) = +00. Whether tan( $(n+1/2)\pi$ ) is infinite and, if so, its sign depend upon how trigonometric argument reduction is performed. None the less, the identity tan(-x) = -tan(x) should still hold. Arg(x + zy) = ATAN2(y,x) has values some of which are determined by consistency with complex arithmetic; to describe these special values we let  $\omega$  and  $\Omega$  stand for arbitrary real variables subject only to the constraints  $0 \le \omega \le \Omega \le +\infty$  :  $ATAN2(\pm 0, \pm 0) = ATAN2(\pm 0, \pm \Omega) = ATAN2(\pm \omega, \pm 0) = \pm 0 \text{ resp.};$ ATAN2(+ $\omega$ , + $\omega$ ) = ATAN2(+ $\omega$ , - $\omega$ ) = ATAN2(+ $\Omega$ , 0) = + $\pi/2$  resp. Table 2: CONSTANT BOUNDS ~~~~~~  $|sin| \leq 1$ ;  $|cos| \leq 1$ ;  $|tanh| \leq 1 \leq cosh$ ;  $0 \leq exp$ ;  $0 \leq t$ ;

5

 $0 \leq \operatorname{arccosh}$ ;  $0 \leq \operatorname{arccos} \leq \pi$ ;  $|\operatorname{arcsin}| \leq \pi/2$ ;  $|\operatorname{arctan}| \leq \pi/2$ .